UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Mark Fellenz	Plaintiff		
V.		CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-10563-PBS	
Enkata Technologies	Defendant		
	·	REFERENCE FOR SPUTE RESOLUTION	
After consultation	with counsel and after consid	deration of the various alternative dispute resolutio	n
programs (ADR) available,	I find this matter appropriate	for ADR and accordingly, refer this case to the ADI	R
program for assignment of	f the following:		
EARLY	NEUTRAL EVALUATION	X MEDIATION: A.S.A.P.	
MINI-TRIAL		SUMMARY JURY TRIAL	
SETTLE	EMENT CONFERENCE	SPECIAL MASTER	
	— E ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE R		
Upon notification	of the time and place designa	ited for the proceeding, counsel are directed to be	
present with their clients of	r with appropriate settlement a	authority and to provide any written documentation	ì
which may be required for	the particular ADR program.	If counsel is engaged on trial or has any	
scheduling conflict and a c	ontinuance becomes necess	ary, the provider of the ADR program and other	
-	I of the conflict immediately.		
	or the commet mimediatory.		
DATE: March 3, 2005		HON. PATTI B. SARIS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGI BY: <u>/s/ Robert C. Alba</u> DEPUTY CLERK	E
	CASE (CATEGORY	
Admiralty		Antitrust	
Civil Rights		Contract	
Copyright/Trademark/Pate	nt	Environmental	_
ERISA	··· <u></u>	FELA	
Labor Relations	<u> </u>	Medical Malpractice	
Personal Injury	<u> </u>	Product Liability	
Shareholder Dispute			
Other			